

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

1 - Is this course required for your program?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	9	100.00%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100				
				Response Rate				
				9/16 (56.25%)				

2 - What grade do you expect for this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
A	(1)	4	44.44%					
A-	(2)	4	44.44%					
B+	(3)	0	0.00%					
B	(4)	0	0.00%					
B-	(5)	1	11.11%					
C+	(6)	0	0.00%					
C	(7)	0	0.00%					
C-	(8)	0	0.00%					
D+	(9)	0	0.00%					
D	(10)	0	0.00%					
D-	(11)	0	0.00%					
F	(12)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100				
				Response Rate				
				9/16 (56.25%)				

3 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The course materials were well prepared and carefully explained.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
4	(4)	1	11.11%					
9	(9)	2	22.22%					
10	(10)	6	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/16 (56.25%)				9.11	1.96	10.00		

4 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: Assignments added to my understanding of the course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
7	(7)	1	11.11%					
8	(8)	1	11.11%					
9	(9)	1	11.11%					
10	(10)	6	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/16 (56.25%)				9.33	1.12	10.00		

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

5 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: Assignments reflected the content and emphasis of the course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
8	(8)	3	33.33%		
9	(9)	1	11.11%		
10	(10)	5	55.56%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				9.22	0.97
					Median
					10.00

6 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The amount of work in this course was manageable.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
7	(7)	3	33.33%		
8	(8)	2	22.22%		
10	(10)	4	44.44%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				8.56	1.42
					Median
					8.00

7 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: Coursework was engaging.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
5	(5)	2	22.22%		
8	(8)	1	11.11%		
9	(9)	1	11.11%		
10	(10)	5	55.56%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				8.56	2.13
					Median
					10.00

8 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: Class lessons were engaging.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
3	(3)	1	11.11%		
5	(5)	2	22.22%		
8	(8)	2	22.22%		
10	(10)	4	44.44%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				7.67	2.69
					Median
					8.00

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

9 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:Class discussions were rich and added to my understanding of the course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
5	(5)	2	22.22%		8.11			
6	(6)	1	11.11%					
8	(8)	1	11.11%					
9	(9)	1	11.11%					
10	(10)	4	44.44%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/16 (56.25%)				8.11		2.20		9.00

10 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:I acquired valuable skills and/or knowledge in the course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
5	(5)	2	22.22%		8.56			
8	(8)	1	11.11%					
9	(9)	1	11.11%					
10	(10)	5	55.56%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/16 (56.25%)				8.56		2.13		10.00

11 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:I found the course intellectually challenging.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
1	(1)	1	11.11%		6.89			
2	(2)	1	11.11%					
6	(6)	1	11.11%					
7	(7)	1	11.11%					
8	(8)	1	11.11%					
9	(9)	2	22.22%					
10	(10)	2	22.22%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD		Median	
9/16 (56.25%)				6.89	3.33		8.00	

12 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:I increased my ability to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
4	(4)	1	12.50%		7.25			
5	(5)	1	12.50%					
6	(6)	2	25.00%					
7	(7)	1	12.50%					
10	(10)	3	37.50%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD		Median	
8/16 (50.00%)				7.25	2.43		6.50	

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

13 - Would you recommend this course to a friend?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
Yes	(2)	9	100.00%		
No	(1)	0	0.00%		
Response Rate		9/16 (56.25%)			

14 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:The instructor's teaching style contributed to learning material covered in the course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
5	(5)	1	11.11%		8.78			
6	(6)	1	11.11%					
9	(9)	2	22.22%					
10	(10)	5	55.56%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/16 (56.25%)				8.78		1.92		10.00

15 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:The instructor clearly explained the goals and requirements of the course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
8	(8)	1	11.11%		9.78			
10	(10)	8	88.89%					
02550100					Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/16 (56.25%)				9.78		0.67		10.00

16 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:The instructor was well organized and prepared for class.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses							
8	(8)	1	11.11%								
9	(9)	1	11.11%								
10	(10)	7	77.78%								
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median			
9/16 (56.25%)				9.67		0.71		10.00			

17 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:The instructor was responsive to student needs and concerns.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses								
8	(8)	1	11.11%		9.78							
10	(10)	8	88.89%									
					0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median				
9/16 (56.25%)				9.78		0.67		10.00				

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

18 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The instructor used student questions and comments to help students understand course material.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
6	(6)	1	11.11%		9.22
7	(7)	1	11.11%		
10	(10)	7	77.78%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				9.22	1.56
					Median
					10.00

19 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The instructor was accessible to students outside of class time.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
8	(8)	1	11.11%		9.67
9	(9)	1	11.11%		
10	(10)	7	77.78%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				9.67	0.71
					Median
					10.00

20 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: Methods utilized for evaluating student work were fair and appropriate.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
7	(7)	1	11.11%		9.56
9	(9)	1	11.11%		
10	(10)	7	77.78%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				9.56	1.01
					Median
					10.00

21 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The instructor provided valuable feedback on assignments.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
8	(8)	1	11.11%		9.67
9	(9)	1	11.11%		
10	(10)	7	77.78%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				9.67	0.71
					Median
					10.00

22 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The instructor graded assignments in a timely manner.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
8	(8)	1	11.11%		9.67
9	(9)	1	11.11%		
10	(10)	7	77.78%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				9.67	0.71
					Median
					10.00

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

23 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:The instructor communicated enthusiasm for the subject matter.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
8	(8)	1	11.11%					
9	(9)	1	11.11%					
10	(10)	7	77.78%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/16 (56.25%)				9.67	0.71	10.00		

24 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:The instructor presented background for concepts or skills developed in class.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
7	(7)	1	11.11%					
9	(9)	1	11.11%					
10	(10)	7	77.78%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/16 (56.25%)				9.56	1.01	10.00		

25 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:Where relevant, the instructor presented contrasting points of view on topics.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
7	(7)	1	14.29%					
8	(8)	1	14.29%					
9	(9)	2	28.57%					
10	(10)	3	42.86%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)				9.00	1.15	9.00		

26 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement:The instructor promoted an atmosphere that was conducive to students sharing ideas and knowledge.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
5	(5)	1	11.11%					
8	(8)	2	22.22%					
9	(9)	2	22.22%					
10	(10)	4	44.44%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/16 (56.25%)				8.78	1.64	9.00		

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

27 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The instructor was respectful of differing viewpoints expressed by students.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
7	(7)	1	12.50%		9.38
9	(9)	2	25.00%		
10	(10)	5	62.50%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
8/16 (50.00%)				9.38	1.06
					Median
					10.00

28 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The instructor treated students with respect.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
9	(9)	1	11.11%		9.89
10	(10)	8	88.89%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				9.89	0.33
					Median
					10.00

29 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The instructor wanted students to succeed and encouraged/motivated me to do my best in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
8	(8)	1	11.11%		9.78
10	(10)	8	88.89%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				9.78	0.67
					Median
					10.00

30 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: The instructor challenged me to think.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
6	(6)	1	11.11%		8.89
7	(7)	1	11.11%		
9	(9)	3	33.33%		
10	(10)	4	44.44%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
9/16 (56.25%)				8.89	1.45
					Median
					9.00

31 - Would you recommend this instructor to a friend or take another course with this instructor?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
Yes	(2)	9	100.00%		
No	(1)	0	0.00%		
Response Rate					9/16 (56.25%)

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

32 - Please indicate aspects of the instructor's teaching or of the course that have been most valuable to you.

Response Rate 8/16 (50%)

- Very useful course content. The teacher provided adequate help outside of class.
- His willingness to help with other classes within his realm of knowledge and the time he takes to get to know each student. I also found his feedback and laid-back nature to be very valuable, I could not have asked for anything better.
- Understanding what goes into a game, and the method behind it.
- He gave a lot of examples and feedback
- In general, just learning how games were made, the aspects that went into it, while before this class I had a basic understanding of how development worked, this gave me way more to know about.
- I think the understanding of the other work/assignments we may have and shifting the deadlines to the end of the term was very generous and helped me out a lot in the end.
- Talking about fun activities we all did in the week and when we did playtest it was fun to see the teacher join in sometimes
- He always went over exactly what everyone needed, and made sure everyone knew exactly what they needed to do, going above and beyond to help students with similar but different questions and work.

33 - Please indicate aspects of the instructor's teaching or of the course that you feel need improvement.

Response Rate 6/16 (37.5%)

- The information was conveyed over one three hour long lecture with often no break, the class could of been more engaging if we had more in class projects or assignments that covered the material.
- Predictable Answer: The readings, but I know that will probably be changed by next semester. It was really nice that he used our input for the solution.
- Just more work that could've been included in the program, less book work and less lecture and more with group projects, possibly also more resources for game generators.
- I think in the beginning maybe starting the design challenges a little later would help? I felt a little lost/nervous because I've never made a game before and the things we learned after helped a lot.
- The amount of reading responses. There needs to be a bit less reading responses, that's all.
- The lectures during the class was very informative, but they dragged on. We rarely ever actual did any work in the class, because the lectures would take up a majority of it. So, we never actually did any hands on "game design" rather than testing them in class.

34 - Please provide any other comments regarding the instructor's teaching or the course.

Response Rate 5/16 (31.25%)

- No comment
- Literally my favorite course of the semester, I looked forward to it every week and I look forward to further classes with this professor.
- N/A
- This was a very fun course
- Other than that, he made it a very fun and enjoyable class, and did his best to make everyone feel welcome and important.

35 - What do you wish you knew going into this course at the start of the semester? What advice would you give someone taking this course for the first time?

Response Rate 6/16 (37.5%)

- No comment
- Be ready to be creative and let yourself have fun!
- Basic knowledge of games and bare minimum of what goes into the game for the first five chapters, nice professor just very talkative.
- I wish I knew a little bit of the basics of how to use programs and what a game needs first. I would tell people to try making either their own game or do research on it beforehand.
- Be prepared to make either digital or physical games that you present to class.
- The lectures are long, and that it will be the very basics of game design. My advice is that if you have started in this field already, you will most likely understand/know the contents of the course already.

Rider University

Fall 2022 Course Evaluations

Course: GameDesign: GAM-200-HH1

Instructor: Matthew DiMatteo *

Response Rate: 9/16 (56.25 %)

36 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: Modifications to this course, such as Playtest Points and flexibility on deadlines for written work, worked well and allowed me to focus more on projects that meant more to me.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
3	(3)	1	11.11%		8.44			
5	(5)	1	11.11%					
8	(8)	1	11.11%					
10	(10)	6	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/16 (56.25%)				8.44	2.65	10.00		

37 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: I was easily able to find playtesters for my games.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
4	(4)	1	12.50%		8.50			
7	(7)	1	12.50%					
8	(8)	1	12.50%					
9	(9)	1	12.50%					
10	(10)	4	50.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
8/16 (50.00%)				8.50	2.14	9.50		

38 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: Design Challenges provided me with valuable portfolio pieces.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
6	(6)	2	22.22%		8.44			
7	(7)	2	22.22%					
10	(10)	5	55.56%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/16 (56.25%)				8.44	1.88	10.00		

39 - On a scale of 0-10, please indicate your level of agreement (where 0 means complete disagreement and 10 means complete agreement; if this question does not apply to your experience, please leave this item blank rather than selecting an arbitrary number) with the following statement: This course gave me ample opportunity to be creative.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				
5	(5)	1	11.11%		9.33			
9	(9)	1	11.11%					
10	(10)	7	77.78%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/16 (56.25%)				9.33	1.66	10.00		